

Superior Court of California, County of Butte
RFP 2785
Proposer Questions and Answers

Date of Question	Question	Answer
6/7/2011	<p>On Page 1 of 8 under Compensation it states that the monthly compensation will be \$12719.00. However, at #2 on the compensation allowable for Contract Year 2011/2012 is \$114,468. That is a 10 month time span (Sept - June). However, it appears to be only 9 months of compensation (\$12719 x 9 months = \$114, 468).</p> <p>In addition, #4 outlines the total compensation for the 22 months and that, too, comes up a month short in pay.</p> <p>Therefore, are we allowed to bid for the full 10 month and/or 22 month compensation allowed at the rate of \$12719.00 per month?</p>	<p>Yes, compensation is for 22 months. Section 1.2 has been updated to reflect the correct compensation information (please note that amounts have been rounded to the nearest dollar).</p>
6/14/11	<p>Butte appears to have a high number of review hearings as indicated in the statistical information provided. What do these hearings entail and why are there so many?</p>	<p>Review hearings are hearings that are required by statute for juvenile cases that are pending for the disposition of the reunification/placement process. Butte has not conducted an analysis of these hearings to determine if the quantity of hearings is “high” or “low” in comparison with other areas.</p>
6/14/11	<p>Is Counsel required to appear on Dependency Drug Court Matters on Tuesdays and Thursdays?</p>	<p>Counsel is required to appear if their client has an initial referral to the calendar. Ongoing appearances are not usually required but may be ordered by the Court as necessary.</p>
6/14/11	<p>What is the approximate number or percentage of parents and children per caseload?</p>	<p>Caseloads are dynamic so exact numbers and percentages will vary throughout the life of the contract. Approximately 50% of a caseload consists of parents and approximately 50% of a caseload consists of children (for both full-time and half-time caseloads).</p>
6/15/11	<p>Page 4 of 8, lists the order of the contents required to be in the Proposal; 6.5.8 is noted to be a “Cost proposal”; however, page 7 of 8, section 6.5.8 addresses “Caseloads” and specification of the type of caseload in the proposal. Should the matter to be addressed under 6.5.8 on the Proposal be costs or caseloads?</p>	<p>The matter to be addressed under 6.5.8 should be caseloads.</p>
6/15/11	<p>Does a cost proposal need to be included at all?</p>	<p>A cost proposal is not required.</p>
6/15/11	<p>Page 7 of 8, section 8.0, after subsection 2.0 the word “proposer” appears; is there additional language which is missing?</p>	<p>No. This is a typographical error.</p>

6/15/11	Page 7 of 8, section 6.5.7, requires the proposer to provide a “redlined” version of proposed modifications to the form of contract; does the Court desire this method if the changes are typographical only, or issues such as terms which have not been defined?	No. The intent of this section is to provide the proposer with an opportunity for suggesting additional terms or modifying existing terms. Contract language will be finalized in the negotiation phase for successful proposers.
6/16/11	Proposals for either a full or half time case load can be contained in the same submission, is that correct?	Yes.
6/16/11	Does the court contemplate the half time attorney being available to the court five days per week or, as a half time position, will there be some days the half time person would not be expected in court?	The half-time caseload designation refers to the number of cases that are referred to the contractor. Contractors with a half-time caseload are expected to be available to the Court as needed and may attend as many calendars as a contractor with a full-time caseload.
6/22/11	I have gone through the new RFP and do not see any place where you want us to accept the sums which are set forth in 1.2. In that we are not required to provide a budget, it would seem that somewhere we should be agreeing to provide the services based upon the figures set forth in the RFP or is that not necessary?	The Court interprets the submission of a proposal as accepting the amounts listed in Section 1.2. Details will be finalized during the contract negotiation phase for successful proposers.